arXiv:math-ph/0007041v1 31 Jul 2000

Mapping Integer Order Neumann Functions To Real Orders

M.Mekhfi

Laboratoire de Physique Mathematique,Es-senia 31100 Oran **ALGERIE**

Abstract

In a recent paper we unified Bessel functions of different orders .Here we extend the unification to other linairely independant solutions to Bessel equation ,Neumann's and Hankel's functions

mekhfi@hotmail.com

Neumann's functions $N_p(z)$ and Bessel functions $J_p(z)$ with p integer ,are the two linairely independant solutions to the Bessel equation [1] [2] .

$$z^2 y^{''}(z) + z y^{'}(z) + (z^2 - p^2) y(z) = 0 \tag{1}$$

When p is real it is known that $J_p(z)$ and $J_{-p}(z)$ are also two linairely independant solutions of the above equation so consequently we can rewrite $N_p(z)$ as a combination of

Bessel functions of positive and negative orders and the combination is as follows

$$N_p(z) = \frac{J_p(z)\ cosp\pi - J_{-p}(z)}{sinp\pi} \tag{2}$$

Bessel and Neumann's functions are the "analog" respectively of the cosine and sine ,while Hankel functions $H_p^{(1)}$ and $H_p^{(2)}$ yet another linear combinations of $J_p(z)$ and $J_{-p}(z)$ are the "analog" of e^{ipz} and e^{-ipz}. Hankel functions are related to $N_p(z)$ and $J_p(z)$ as.

$$\begin{aligned} J_p(z) &= \frac{H_p^{(1)}(z) + H_p^{(2)}(z)}{2} \\ N_p(z) &= \frac{H_p^{(1)}(z) - H_p^{(2)}(z)}{2i} \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $H^{(1)}$ and $H^{(2)}$ are complex conjugate to each other.

In a recent paper [3] we showed, for the first time , that Bessel functions of real orders $J_{n+\lambda}$ $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ and Bessel functions J_n $n \in Z$ of integer orders are no longer disassociated objects , but one is the deformed[1] version of the other and as a consequence ,they are related to each other through the unifying formula [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{J_{n+\lambda}(z)}{z^{n+\lambda}} &= exp(-\lambda \sum_{z_1}) \ \frac{J_n(z)}{z^n} && (4) \\ z^{n+\lambda}\ J_{n+\lambda}(z) &= exp(\lambda \sum_{z_2})\ z^n\ J_n(z) && (5) \\ \sum_{z_1} &= \sum_{m\epsilon Z/0} \frac{\partial_m}{m} \\ \sum_{z_2} &= \sum_{m\epsilon Z/0} (-1)^m \frac{\partial_m}{m} \\ \partial_{|m|} &= \frac{2\partial}{dz^2} \frac{2\partial}{dz^2} \cdots \frac{2\partial}{dz^2}. \\ \partial_{-|m|} &= \int \frac{1}{2} dz^2 . \int \frac{1}{2} dz^2 .... \int \frac{1}{2} dz^2 . \end{aligned}$$

At this point it seems natural to extend this formula which applies to J_n, to the second linairely independant solution N_n.The apparently straigthforward way to do would be to use formula 4 5 and then apply the mapping operator $e^{\pm\lambda \sum}$, but unfortunately our formula applies to the reduced Bessel function $J_n(z)/z^n$ rather than directly to $J_n(z)$ and this make our formula of no practical use for our purpose.In the following we will propose a nice way to relate $N_{n+\lambda}$ to N_n .

---

[1] The deformation mechanism is described in [4]

Let us recall first that to Bessel functions one can associate polynomials $O_n(z)$. These are Neumann polynomials .The relationship between them is performed through the known formula.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t-z} &= \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} J_n(z)\ A_n(t) \qquad (6) \\ with\quad O_n(z) &= A_n + (-1)^n A_{-n} \end{aligned}$$

The first step towards the solution of our problem is to show that if , to Bessel functions one can associate Neumann polynomials $O_n(z)$, one can equally well associate to reduced Bessel functions something and these associated objects are precisely Neumann function $N_n\ (z)$. We are not sure if a formula which relates reduced Bessel functions to Neumann functions ,similar to the above one 6, already exists in the literature ,so we prefer to work it out first.In doing this we largely follow a method which dates back to Sonine [2] and adapts it to the present need.Let us first state the result.Let $\Omega(\tau)$ be an an arbitrary function of τ and if $\Omega(\tau) = x$,let $\tau = \mho(x)$ so that $\mho$ is the inverse function to Ω .Denote the function and the function we associate to it respectively $Z_n(z)$ and $A_n(t)$ and define them through their integral representations as follows

$$\begin{aligned} Z_n(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\oint e^{-z^2\Omega+\frac{\tau}{2}}\frac{d\tau}{\tau^{n+1}} \\ A_n(t) &= \int_0^\infty e^{t^2x-\frac{\mho(x)}{2}}\ (\mho(x))^n dx \end{aligned}$$

then it is not that difficult to prove the existence of a formula relating both functions

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{t^2-z^2} &= -\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_n(z)\ A_n(t) \qquad (7) \\ Re\ z^2 &> Re\ t^2 \end{aligned}$$

To prove the result 7 , suppose for any given positive value of x that $\mid \tau \mid > \mid \mho(x) \mid$ on a closed curve $\mathcal{C}$ surrounding the origin and the point z and that $\mid \tau \mid < \mid \mho(x) \mid$ on a closed c surrounding the origin but not enclosing the point z .Then compute the series

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{+\infty} Z_n A_n &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\oint_{\mathcal{C}}\int_0^\infty exp(-z^2\Omega(\tau)+t^2x+\frac{1}{2}(\tau-\mho(x))\ \frac{\mho(x)^n}{\tau^{n+1}}dx\ d\tau \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i}\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\oint_{c}\int_0^\infty exp(-z^2\Omega(\tau)+t^2x+\frac{1}{2}(\tau-\mho(x))\ \frac{\tau^n}{\mho(x)^{n+1}}dx\ d\tau \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty(\oint_{\mathcal{C}}-\oint_c)\frac{exp(-z^2\Omega(\tau)+t^2x+\frac{1}{2}(\tau-\mho(x)}{\tau-\mho(x)}d\tau\, dx. \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_0^\infty\oint_z\frac{exp(-z^2\Omega(\tau)+t^2x+\frac{1}{2}(\tau-\mho(x)}{\tau-\mho(x)}d\tau\, dx. \\ &= \int_0^\infty e^{(t^2-z^2)x}\, dx \end{aligned}$$

In going to the third line,we perform the summations $\frac{1}{\tau}\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\mho(x)}{\tau}\right)^n = \frac{1}{\tau-\mho(x)}$ (τ on the path $\mathcal{C}$)and $\frac{1}{\mho}\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty}\left(\frac{\tau}{\mho(x)}\right)^n = -\frac{1}{\tau-\mho(x)}$ (τ on the path c) which are convergent according to the conditions above .For the case of interest we have $Z_n(z) = \frac{J_n(z)}{z^n}$, $\Omega(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\tau}$ and $\mho(x) = \frac{1}{2x}$ .In this case the function associated to the reduced Bessel function has the integral form .

$$A_n(t) = \frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty e^{t^2\frac{x}{2}-\frac{1}{2x}}\ \frac{dx}{x^n} \tag{8}$$

The integral defining the function $A_n(t)$ is easily identified [5] to the $K_n(t)$ function which is the Hankel function of imaginary argument $K_\nu(t) = \frac{\pi i}{2}e^{i\frac{\pi}{2}\nu i}H^{(1)}_{-\nu}(it)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\int_0^\infty e^{-\frac{1}{2x}+\frac{t^2x}{2}}\frac{dx}{x^n} &= \frac{K_{-n+1}(it)}{(it)^{-n+1}} = \frac{K_{-n+1}(-it)}{(-it)^{-n+1}} \\ with &\ \ |\ \ arg\ t\ |< \pi/2\ and\ Re\ t^2 > 0\end{aligned}$$

Equation 7 then relates reduced Bessel funnctions to Hankel functions which are associated to them

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\frac{i}{t^2-z^2} = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{J_n(z)}{z^n}\ t^{n-1}H^{(1)}_{n-1}(t). \tag{9}$$

In writing this formula we have used the property of the Hankel functions that $H_{-n} = (-1)^n H_n$.

At this point if we restrict ourselves to t and z reals and take the complex conjugate of the above formula we get another formula

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\frac{i}{t^2-z^2} = -\sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{J_n(z)}{z^n}\ t^{n-1}H^{(2)}_{n-1}(t). \tag{10}$$

where, this time, we use the property $H^{(1)}_\nu(z)^* = H^{(2)}_\nu(z^*)$. Adding 9 to 10 and using the definition of Neumann function in term of Hankel functions 3 we get the desired result

$$\frac{2}{\pi}\frac{1}{t^2-z^2} = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{J_n(z)}{z^n}\ t^{n-1}N_{n-1}(t). \tag{11}$$

The particular form of the left hand side of this equation (a happy event) and the presence of reduced Bessel functions on the right hand side of the equation allow a straigthforward and quite elegant application to Neumann 's function of the unifying formula 4 (valid for reduced Bessel functions) .In fact we can perform a succession of valid operations .

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{2}{\pi}exp(-\lambda\sum_{z_1})\frac{1}{t^2-z^2} &= \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty}exp(-\lambda\sum_{z_1})\frac{J_n(z)}{z^n}\ t^{n-1}N_{n-1}(t) \qquad (12) \\ \frac{2}{\pi}exp(-\lambda\sum_{t})\frac{1}{t^2-z^2} &= \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{J_{n+\lambda}(z)}{z^{n+\lambda}}\ t^{n-1}N_{n-1}(t) \\ \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{1}{t^2-z^2} &= \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty}\frac{J_{n+\lambda}(z)}{z^{n+\lambda}}\ exp(\lambda\sum_{t})t^{n-1}N_{n-1}(t)\end{aligned}$$

Where the capital sigma operator with the t variable acquires an extra factor $(-1)^m$.

$$\sum_t = \sum_{m\epsilon Z/0} (-1)^m \frac{\partial_m}{m} \tag{13}$$

This extra factor comes from the identity.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^2}\ \frac{1}{t^2-z^2} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t^2}\ \frac{1}{t^2-z^2} \tag{14}$$

Note that in the last line of 12 we identified the result of the action of the operator $exp(\lambda \sum_t)$ on Neumann functions of integer orders with Neumann function of orders $n+\lambda$ as for reduced Bessel functions.That is we put

$$t^{n+\lambda} N_{n+\lambda}(t) = exp(\lambda \sum_t)\ t^n N_n(t) \tag{15}$$

It remains to show 15, that is ,the result of the mapping operation by the operator $e^{\lambda} \sum$ is indeed Neumann functions of real orders $n+\lambda$ and not something else.To see this let us proceed as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2}{\pi}\frac{1}{t^2-z^2} &= \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{J_{n+\lambda}(z)}{z^{n+\lambda}}\ A_{n,\lambda} = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{J_{n+\lambda-m}(z)}{z^{n+\lambda-m}}\ A_{n,\lambda-m} \\ &= \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{J_{n+\lambda}(z)}{z^{n+\lambda}}\ A_{n+m,\lambda-m} \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

In the first line we use the fact that the sum is independant of λ and hence change the parameter $\lambda \ \rightarrow \lambda - m$ .In the second line we absorb the index m as we sum over all $m \,\epsilon\, Z$ .From 16 we infer the symmetry property of the $A_{n,\lambda}$

$$A_{n,\lambda} = A_{n+m,\lambda-m} \forall\ \lambda, m \tag{17}$$

This symmetry means that $A_{n,\lambda}$ only depends on the combination $n+\lambda$ that is $A_{n,\lambda} = A_{n+\lambda}$ .This remark together with the fact that $A_{n+\lambda}\ |_{\lambda=0} =\ t^n N_n(t)$ leads to the identification 15as we anticipated in the last line of 12

Formula 15 is the main result of the paper .It shows that Neumann functions are unified irrespective of their orders being integer or real .They are unified through the same formula as reduced Bessel functions $z^n\ J_n(z)$ in 5.

Note that we could have already applied the procedure to Hankel function's first by starting from the identities 9 and .10. In this case we could have obtained a similar unifying formulas (i=1,2).

$$t^{n+\lambda} H^{(i)}_{n+\lambda}(t) = exp(\lambda \sum_t)\ t^n H^{(i)}_n(t) \tag{18}$$

Let us note that our formula 18 for the evolution of Hankel functions could be used to infer the evolution equation for reduced Bessel function $z^n J_n$ by using the defining equation 3 of J'^{s} in terms of H'^{s} . By simple inspection one can see that the result we get is indeed

References

[1] **V.I.Smirnov** A Course On Higher Mathematics ,Vol III ,Part 2, Pergamon Press (1964).

[2] **G.N.Watson** A Treatrise On Bessel Functions Cambrige University Press Second Edition page 280

[3] **M.MEKHFI** Unification of Bessel functions of different orders. Int ' Journal of Theoretical Physics IJTP **Vol.39** ,No.4,(2000) .hep-th/9512159 V2 .See also Invariants of topological quantum mechanics. Int ' Journal of Theoretical Physics IJTP **Vol.3**,No.8,(1996),Cohomological quantum mechanics and calculability of observables Mod.Phys.Lett.**A11** :2065-2082 ,(1996)

[4] **M.MEKHFI** Witten deformed exterior derivative and Bessel functions math-ph/0007017.Submitted to Journal of physics A : Mathematical and general

[5] **I.S.Gradshteyn and M.Ryzhik** Table Of Integrals ,Series and Products Academic Press (1980)